

International Journal of Plant & Soil Science

34(7): 24-37, 2022; Article no.IJPSS.84652 ISSN: 2320-7035

## Impact of Oil Field Effluent on Soil Health and Physiological Growth in Small Tea Farms of Shalmari OCS-1, Dibrugarh, Assam

E. Bharadwaj<sup>a\*</sup>, P. Neog<sup>a</sup> and A. S. Gogoi<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Tea Husbandry and Technology, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i730886

**Open Peer Review History:** 

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84652

Original Research Article

Received 03 January 2022 Accepted 07 March 2022 Published 09 March 2022

## ABSTRACT

**Aims:** The investigation was undertaken to study the impact of spillage in the tea plantations in nearby oil fields with probable effect on the soil health and the growth of the tea crop with the following objectives to study the released from the oil fields on the physiology of tea crop and to study the impact of effluent on soil physicochemical in tea plants.

Study Design: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for two factorial RBD was carried out using OPSTAT.

**Place and Duration of Study:** The field study was carried out in Shalmari No. 1 near the Tingkhong tea estate of Dibrugarh. The laboratory works were carried out in the Department of Tea Husbandry & Technology and Department of Soil Science, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam, between March'19 to February'20.

**Methodology:** Two tea-growing seasons viz. Rainflush and autumn flush were considered. Samples were collected in four distances at an interval of 0-21 m, 21-42 m, and 42-63 m and beyond 63m (control site). A total of 24 plant samples was collected for both the season with respect to 4 distance and 3 replication. In the case of soil samples, a total of 24 soil samples were collected for both the season.

**Results:** Plant parameters like water saturation deficit increased in crude oil affected site while decreased the relative turgidity, stomatal count, in the tea plants grown in the contaminated site. Bulk density, pH, organic carbon, and available nutrients in the contaminated site of the soils was

\*Corresponding author: E-mail: eimon.bharadwaj.adj@aau.ac.in, eimon.bharadwaj.adj20@aau.ac.in;

increased. However, porosity, hydraulic conductivity and electrical conductivity were low in the contaminated area.

**Conclusion:** The study reveals oil effluent spillage as a major factor for plant growth and soil quality deterioration and the impact was more pronounced in the vicinity of the drilling point adversely affecting plant physiological, soil physico-chemical parameters.

#### Keywords: Assam; anova; autumn.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Tea (*Camellia sinensis*) is an evergreen subtropical plant native to Asia, but presently tea is grown around the world. The tea plant is considered to be the most important crop in Assam as the tea industry of Assam is the single largest one in the state playing a dominant role in the economy of the state. Also, Assam is the oldest oil-producing state in India and a great contributor to crude oil and natural gas in the national economy.

Tea and crude oil drilling industries in Assam started in the eighteenth century and are vital for the economic perspective of the state. The occurrence of several crude oil drilling sites is very common in and around tea plantations, especially in upper Assam. The petroleum industry of Assam has a significant role in the process of industrialization in the State. Tea and crude oil drilling industries in Assam, India started in the eighteenth century. Both these two industries are vital from the socio-economic perspective of the state. In upper Assam, both these two industries are adjacent to each other.

Tea being a perennial crop, soil plays a vital role in the growth and production of tea. Many a time oil effluent from the oil drilling sites affects the tea field of nearby areas by contaminating the soil and so the production. This oil spill/effluent includes spill of crude oil or oil distilled products that can pollute the surface of the land, air, and water environments. Oil Spill may lead to have negative effects on the environments and living organisms, including humans, due to the discharge of various organic compounds that make up crude oil and oil distillate products, the majority of which include various individual hydrocarbons.

Crude oil affects the soil's physical and biological properties and reduces the growth and resistance of the plants by altering biotic and abiotic factors thus making them more vulnerable to pathogen infestation [1;2] Crude oil contamination is severely affecting the tea industry in Assam, as it reduces the production and quality of the produced tea. various physical, chemical, and thermal management practices are the common techniques to manage the crude oil-contaminated sites.

One of the most prospective techniques for the restoration of oil-contaminated soils is environmentally friendly, cost-effective phytoremediation. Phyto-remediation by planting Calamagrostis angustifolia, *Cyperus brevifolius* etc. has the potential to simultaneously restore and remediate the petroleum hydrocarbon-contaminated wetlands [3;4]

Currently with the study of various works done by various researchers on oil spillage affecting the tea industry of Assam it was observed that the small growers owning tea plantations near the oil industry were facing several cultivation problems as well as economic problems which were gradually resisting their income generation. In this study plant, physiological parameters, and soil physical and chemical parameters were focused to study the impact of spillage in the tea plantations in nearby oil fields with probable effect on soil health and the growth of the tea crop.

#### 2. MATERIALS and METHODOLOGY

#### 2.1 Experimental Site

For the present investigation, the field study was carried out in the village Shalmari No. 1 near Tingkhong tea estate of Dibrugarh district (27.2648° N lat; 95.1354° E long), situated in the eastern part of Assam under the Agro-climatic zone of Upper Brahmaputra Valley zone of Assam. The site selected is nearer to Oil Collecting Station (OCS) number 2 with well number 17, 25, 30, 44, and oil exploring activities are carried out by Oil India Limited (OIL).

The laboratory works were carried out in the Department of Tea Husbandry & Technology and Department of Soil Science, Assam Agricultural University, Jorhat, Assam.

#### 2.2 Collection and Analysis of Plant Samples

Samples for the plant were carried out in two tea growing seasons viz. Rainflush, and autumn flush with four distances. In each season, twelve samples were collected at an interval of 0-21 m (D1), 21-42 m(D2), and 42-63 m(D3) and beyond 63m (Dc) (control site) starting from the effluent spilling point for the affected plot. Thirty-five numbers of bushes were selected (7x5) for each distance, and within these bushes, leaves were collected. For one season, a total of 12 samples were collected. A total of 24 samples were collected in both the season with respect to four distances and within each distances three replication were used in the entire investigation period. Sampling was done manually and analysed the plant samples using standard procedures.

#### 2.2.1 Plant physiological parameters

#### 2.2.1.1 Relative water content

The relative turgidity technique was determined [5] The technique consists essentially in comparing the water content of leaf tissue when freshly sampled with the fully turgid water content and expressing the result on a percentage basis, formula. Circular discs of 2cm diameter each were punched with the help of a cork borer of similar diameter from the fifth leaf of the tea clones. Care was taken to avoid the main veins. Fresh weight for each leaf disc was taken, and they were then floated in distilled water and stored at room temperature for 24 hours, drying up the surface moisture of the discs with the help of a blotting paper, the turgid weight of each disc was recorded. Then the discs were oven-dried at 80 C for 12 hours following which the oven-dry weight was recorded [6].

#### 2.2.1.2 Water saturation deficit

The water saturation deficit and relative turgidity were determined by the disk method [7]. The water saturation deficit was determined by the disk method with extrapolation of saturation curves: disks of 8 mm. in diameter were punched out of the experimental leaves.

#### 2.2.1.3 Stomatal count

The estimation of the number of stomatal was determined by the method [8]. The number of stomata was measured in the mid position of the

lower leaf surface, taking the help of the impression methods.

#### 2.2.1.4 Leaf area

The leaf area measurement was conducted by the Millimeter graph paper method. In this method, a leaf is taken and traced over graph paper, and the grids covered by the leaf are counted to give the area. [9]

#### 2.2.1.5 Specific leaf weight

Specific leaf weight is calculated by dividing the dry weight of leaves by their surface area, [10].

# 2.3 Collection and Analysis of Soil Samples

#### 2.3.1 Soil physical parameters

#### 2.3.1.1 Bulk density

The method employed for determining bulk density is the gravimetric method using undisturbed soil cores [11].

#### 2.3.1.2 Porosity

Porosity is defined as the ratio of the volume of the pores  $(V_f)$  to the soil bulk volume  $(V_t)$ . Keen Raczkowski box method was used.

$$f = \frac{Vf}{Vt}$$

Where,

 $f = porosity \\ V_{t=} soil bulk volume \\ V_{f} = volume of the pores$ 

#### 2.3.1.3 Hydraulic conductivity

Hydraulic conductivity was determined by the constant head permeameter using undisturbed soil cores [12].

#### 2.3.1.4 Soil particle analysis

Soil texture was determined by the pipette method [12]

#### 2.3.2 Soil chemical parameters

#### 2.3.2.1 pH

Determination of soil pH was conducted using a glass electrode pH meter [13].

#### 2.3.2.2 Organic carbon

Organic carbon content was determined by Walkley and black's titration method [14].

#### 2.3.2.3 Electrical conductivity

The determination of electrical conductivity (EC) is made with a conductivity cell by measuring the electrical resistance of a 1:5 soil: water suspension [15].

#### 2.3.2.4 Available nitrogen

The estimation of available nitrogen was done by Kjeldahl's method [16].

#### 2.3.2.5 Available phosphorous

The estimation of available phosphorous was determined by Bray, s method [13].

#### 2.3.2.6 Available potassium

The estimation of Available potassium was determined by Flame Photometric method [13].

#### 2.4 Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for two factorial RBD was carried out using OPSTAT and the comparison of means was done by calculating critical difference (CD) at a 5% probability level. The correlation was carried out using the IBM Statistical Package for Social Science (SPSS), version18.

#### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### **3.1 Plant Parameters**

#### 3.1.1 Physiological parameters

#### 3.1.1.1 Relative turgidity

From the present experiment, it was observed that the relative turgidity content of the experimental tea samples varied significantly for both distance and season from the oil effluent point. Among the distances, DC and D3 were highly significant. During the rainy season (S1) the relative turgidity was recorded highest in the distance DC ( beyond 63m) with relative turgidity content 87.3% whereas the lowest relative turgidity was recorded in distance D1 (0-21m) with relative turgidity content 83.13% (table 1). During the autumn season (S2) the relative turgidity was recorded highest in the distance DC (beyond 63m) with relative turgidity content 91.04% whereas the lowest relative turgidity was recorded in distance D1 (0-21m) with relative turgidity content 81.15% (Table 1).

This study confirms that there was a reduction in water content and a high water deficit in the experimental tea plants of the contaminated sites. The results are in conformity with the [17] He observed that due to petroleum contamination, there was a reduction in the water content in the soil leading to low leaf relative water content of A. fruticosa seedlings. This increase in relative turgidity content with increased distance was possibly due to the presence of more amount hydrocarbons near the spillage point, which led to the blockage of pore spaces with oil [18,19,20,21].

When seasons were considered, the minimum relative turgidity content was recorded in the rainy season than the autumn season. Seasonal variation in relative turgidity content may be due to the amount of precipitation. Due to more precipitation in the rainy season, leaves tends to accumulate more amount of water as compared to the autumn season

#### 3.1.1.2 Water saturation deficit

The water saturation deficit of the experimental tea samples varied significantly with respect to distances and seasons. Among the distances, D1 showed the maximum Water saturation deficit in both rainy and autumn seasons (16.89% and 18.5% respectively) and DC showed minimum Water saturation deficit in the both rainy and autumn season (12.7% and 8.75% respectively) presented in (Table 1). However, among the distances, D3 and DC the water saturation deficit was highly significant.

A possible reason for the increase in water deficit near the effluent site may be due to the uptake of oil components by the plant via roots, stems, and accumulate in the leaves. The oil contains toxic components, which may alter the integrity and permeability of plant membranes leading to disturbance of both carbon metabolism and ion in the leaves and water uptake in the roots [21;22;23;24]. Furthermore, it cannot be neglected that the hydrophobic nature of petroleum hydrocarbons prevents water from spreading inhomogeneous in the contaminated soil, resulting in a water deficiency [25;18;26].

#### 3.1.1.3 Stomata count

From the present experiment, it was observed that the stomatal count of the experimental tea samples varied significantly concerning distance from the oil effluent point. When seasons were considered, then there was no significant difference observed in the number of stomatal counts. Among the distances, DC and D2 were highly significant. Between the distances DC (showed the maximum number of stomatal count in both rainy and autumn season, i.e. 20.33and 23 respectively while the minimum number of the stomatal count was observed in the distance D1 i.e. 18.67 and 16.67 for both rainy and autumn season respectively. Presented in (Table 1).

The results are in conformity with [27], who observed that stomata in Chromolaena odorata were grossly affected by crude oil, which manifested as distortion and reduction in the number of stomata per unit area of the leaf. similar results were reported Also. [28]. Transpiration rate (Tr) is closely related to stomatal conductance, and the decline of the latter is always accompanied by that of the former because stomatal conductance is the main mechanism of regulating transpiration [29] Thus, a conclusion can be drawn that the decline in photosynthetic rate results from the decreasing photosynthetic activity of mesophyll cells, the stomatal conductance with the high petroleum concentrations has a dramatic fall.

#### 3.1.1.4 Leaf area

Leaf area measurement for experimental tea samples both for control and contaminated site showed a significant difference between distances and seasons. Among the distances, DC and D2 were highly significant. Between the distances, DC showed the highest Leaf area for both rainy and autumn seasons (34.02 cm2 and 33.71cm2 respectively (table 1). While D1 showed the lowest leaf area for both the rainy and autumn season (32.28 cm2 and 30.84 cm2 respectively (table 1). It was observed that the Leaf area was highest in the rainy season than the autumn season in all the distances.

The findings were in agreement with [28; 30] reported that contamination of soil with crude oil showed significant decreases in leaf area. [31] discussed that crude oil caused a reduction of leaf size in *E. crassipes*, due to morphoanatomical modifications, irregular distribution of the aerenchyma air spaces, or even its absence. He explained that due to the toxicity of heavy metal ions present in crude oil the energy status is lowered, and the uptake of mineral nutrients decreases, reducing growth [32].

When seasons were considered, the autumn season showed less leaf area than the rainy season. This variation might be due to less amount of precipitation during the autumn season as compared to the rainy season. During the rainy season, more amount of water tends to accumulate in tea leaves, making the leaves more turgid.

#### 3.1.1.5 Specific leaf weight

It was observed that the specific leaf weight of the tea leaf samples varied significantly in different seasons. It was found that the Leaf weight of the experimental plants increased during the rainy season than the autumn season from 7.7 to 7.2 mg cm-2 respectively (table 1). Specific leaf weight in the oil effluent contaminated site did not show any significant variation with respect to distance.

The possible reason for the decrease of leaf weight during the autumn season may arise due to less precipitation during the autumn season. Also, a drought stress condition could have occurred because of oil contamination. This could be due to the hydrophobic coating of oil around the soil particles, causing a reduction in water uptake also results in low relative water content.

## 3.2 Soil Parameters

#### 3.2.1 Soil physical parameters

#### 3.2.1.1 Bulk density

From the present experiment, it was observed that the bulk density of the experimental plot varied significantly with respect to distance from the oil effluent point. Among the distances, D1 showed maximum bulk density content both in the rainy season and autumn season i.e. 1.33 Mg m-3 and 1.31 Mg m-3 (table 2). The lowest Bulk density was recorded in Dc in both the rainy and autumn seasons (1.22 Mg m-3 and 1.22 Mg m-3 respectively) (table 2). When seasons are considered, then no significant difference was observed in bulk density content. Among the distances, D3and Dc were highly significant.

Season	Relative t	urgidity(%)		Water saturation deficit(%)			Stomatal count (in numbers)			Leaf area (c	:m2)		Specific leaf weight (mg cm2)		
	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance
distance															
D1	83.13±0. 1	81.15±0.1	82.14	16.89±0.0 2	18.85±0.0 1	17.85	18.67±0.5	16.67±0.5	17.67	32.28±0.2	30.84±0.05	31.56	7.7±0.2	6.9±0.1	7.3
D2	84.65±0. 1	81.40±0.0 05	83.07	15.35±0.0 1	18.51±0.0 5	16.93	19.67±0.5	19.33±1.1	19.5	33. 03±0.1	31.71±0.1	32.37	7.8±0.1	7.3±0.2	7.5
D3	85.18±0. 9	84.09±0.0 9	84.64	14.81±0.9	15.90±0.0 9	15.36	20±0.5	20±1.7	20.	33.2±0.5	32.55±0.1	32.87	7.6±0.1	7.4±0.3	7.5
DC	91.04±0. 6	87.30±1.4	89.17	12.70±1.4	8.95±0.6	10.82	20.33±1.5	23±1	21.67	34.02±0.1	33.71±0.03	33.86	7.7±0.1	7.4±0.2	7.5
Mean season C.D. at 5%	86.00	83.40		14.94 C.D. at 5%	15.55		19.667 C.D. at 5%	19.75		33.13 C.D. at 5%	32.2		7.7 C.D. at 5%	7.2 6	
Between distance (D)	0.856	S		Between distance (D)	0.855	S	Between distance (D)	1.378	S	Between distance (D)	0.274	S	Between distance (D)	N/A	NS
Between season (S)	0.605	S		Between season (S)	0.605	S	Between season (S)	N/A	NS	Between season (S)	0.193	S	Between season (S)	0.184	S
Interactions (D x S)	1.211	S		Interaction s (D x S)	1.210	S	Interactio ns (D x S)	1.948	S	Interaction s (D x S)	0.387	S	Interactions (D x S)	N/A	NS

## Table 1. Plant physiological parameters

S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant

Results were in agreement with [21] They reported that the bulk density of the crude oilcontaminated soil showed higher values than the control site showing that the viscous crude oil settled into the pores to increase both the soil's wet weight and the liquid content. [33] reported that the presence of hydrocarbons resulted in compactness of the soil particles and an increase in the bulk density of soils.

#### 3.2.1.2 Porosity

Soil porosity values of the experimental plot varied with respect to distance from the oil effluent point and were found to be lower near the spilled point whereas increased gradually with an increase in distance. However, among the distances, Dc and D3 were highly significant. Among the distances, Dc showed maximum soil porosity content both in the rainy season and autumn season i.e. 49.7% (table 2). The lowest soil porosity content was recorded in D1 in both rainy and autumn seasons, i.e. 44.9% (Table 2) There was no seasonal variation observed in soil porosity.

The lower values of porosity in the crude oil spilled areas could possibly be due to the formation of a thick crude oil coating above the soil surface which might have resulted in compactness of soil particles and thus reduces the porosity of oil spilled areas [34]. [33] explained that crude oil pollution hampers soil physical properties and pore spaces might be clogged which reduced soil porosity resulting in poor aeration, slow infiltration of water into the soil, increased bulk density ultimately affecting plant growth.

#### 3.2.1.3 Hydraulic conductivity

From the present experiment, it was observed that the soil hydraulic conductivity content varies significantly concerning distance from the crude oil effluent point. Among the distances, Dc and D3 were highly significant When seasons are considered, then no significant difference was observed in soil hydraulic conductivity content Among the distances Dc showed maximum soil hydraulic conductivity content both in the rainy season and autumn season i.e. 0.35 cm min<sup>-1</sup> and 0.33 cm min<sup>-1</sup> <sup>1</sup>lowest soil hydraulic conductivity was recorded in D1 in both rainy and autumn seasons (0. 29 cm min<sup>-1</sup> and 0.3 cm min<sup>-1</sup> respectively) (Table 2).

The result obtained was possibly due to the corresponding decrease crude in oil contamination. The results were in agreement with [21], reported that the polluted soil sample had comparatively less hydraulic conductivity values than the control soil sample due to blockage of polluted soils pores by oil films. [35] explained that the oil coating around the soil particles, it reduces the availability of water to the plant roots because of the gradient development between the soil particles and pore spaces. As a result, the moisture flow from soil to root is reduced, and sometimes a reverse flow occurs. due to which plant growth is adversely affected.

#### 3.2.1.4 Soil particle analysis

The texture of the soil of the experimental plot, i.e. Shalmari-1, Dibrugarh is presented in table 6. According to the result, all samples fall within the sandy clay loam textural class. The sand content of the soil ranged from 74.2% to 74.7%, silt from 2.6% to 3.2%, and clay content ranged from 22.1% to 22.9% The soil particle analysis had not shown any variation with respect to both distance and season. Thus, it was observed that the presence or absence of crude oil didn't affect the soil texture [36].

#### 3.2.2 Soil chemical parameters

#### 3.2.2.1 pH

The pH values of the soil of the experimental plot are presented in table 3. It was observed that the soil was medium acidic in nature. From the present experiment, it was observed that the soil pH content varies significantly in different distances from the crude oil effluent point. When seasons were considered, then no significant difference was observed in soil pH content. It was more or less the same in all the distances. However, the maximum pH value was recorded in the distance D1 i.e. 5.92, and the minimum in the distance DC, i.e. 5.76. Among the distances, D3and Dc was highly significant.

Season	Soil Bulk densit	y(mg m-3)		Soil Porosity(%)			Hydralic conductivity(cm min-1)			
Distance	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance	
D1 D2 D3 DC Mean season	1.33±0.02 1.32±0.005 1.27±0.01 1.22±0.02 1.288	1.31±0.01 1.3±0.01 1.28± 1.22±0.01 1.282	1.32 1.31 1.27 1.22	44.28±1.9 44.83±1 47.07±0.9 50.4±0.1 46.64 C.D. at 5%	45.1±1.01 45.86±1.1 46.46±0.8 49.17±0.01 46.65	44.99 45.46 46.76 49.78	1.64±0.07 1.58±0.03 1.78±0.1 2.1±0.02 1.781 C.D. at 5%	1.28±0.02 1.48±0.01 1.71±0.02 2.02±0.1 1.62	1.46 1.53 1.75 2.06	
Between distance (D)	0.019	S		Between distance (D)	0.926	S	Between distance (D)	0.014	S	
Between season (S)	N/A	NS	6	Between season (S)	N/A	NS	Between season (S)	N/A	NS	
Interactions (D x S)	N/A	NS	3	Interactions (D x S)	N/A	NS	Interactions (D x S)	N/A	NS	

## Table 2. Soil physical parameters

S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant

Season	Soil pH			Soil conten	organic t (g kg⁻¹)	carbon	Electrical (ds m <sup>-1</sup> )	conductivit	y	Available (kg ha-1 )	nitrogen	content	Available content (k	pho g ha-1)	sphorous	Available (kg ha-1 )	potassiu	m content
	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distan	Rainy seaso	Autum n	Mean distan	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distan	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distan	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distanc	Rainy season	Autumn season	Mean distance
distance			се	n	season	се			се			се			е			
D1	5.94±0. 01	5.91±0.0 1	5.925	13.06 ±0.03	13±0.0 2	13.03	0.33±0.0 1	0.34±0.0 01	0.33	773.7±0. 3	774.4±0. 1	774.1	40.8±0.2	41.1±0.2	40.923	230.7±0 .5	233.6±0 .7	232.1
D2	5.85±0. 03	5.83±0.0 05	5.842	12.03 ±0.05	11.8±0. 01	11.917	0.32±0.0 02	0.32±0.0 2	0.32	776.7±0. 5	774.4±1. 4	775.6	40.6±0.6	39.8±3.4	40.228	228.2±0 .5	223.5±0 .6	225.9
D3	5.82±0. 1	5.78±0.0 2	5.803	12.7± 0.1	12.63± 0.2	12.667	0.3±0.00 4	0.31±0.0 01	0.3	769.9±4	771.2±0. 1	770.5	37.7±0.1	37.9±0.0	37.872	220±9.1	210.4±9 .1	215.2
DC	5.76±0. 01	5.76±0.0 2	5.763	11.23 ±0.1	11.3±0. 2	11.267	0.28±0.0 1	0.29±0.0 1	0.28	681.1±0. 01	681.2±0. 01	681.1	35.6±0.0 1	35.7±0.0 1	35.695	214.5±0 .01	215.1±0 .01	214.8
Mean season	5.84	5.82		12.24	12.19		0.31	0.31		750.3	750.3		38.79	38.63		223.3	220.6	
C.D. at 5%			C.E	D. at 5%			C.D. 5%	at		C.D 5%	. at		C.D. 5%	at		C.D. at 5%		
Between distance (D)	0.0	56 S	Bet dist	tween tance (D)	0.165	S	Betv dista (D)	veen 0.01 ance	3 S	Bet dist (D)	ween 1.9 ance	966 S	Betw dista (D)	veen 1.559 ance	9 S	Betwee n distanc e (D)	6.678	S
Between sea (S)	ason N/A	NS NS	Bet sea	tween ason (S)	N/A	NS	Betv seas (S)	veen N/A son	NS	Bet sea (S)	ween N/A son	A NS	B Betw seas (S)	veen N/A son	NS	Betwee n season (S)	N/A	NS
Interactions ( S)	(DxN/A	NS	Inte (D :	eractions x S)	N/A	NS	Inter ons S)	acti N/A (D x	NS	Inte ons S)	racti N/A (D x	A NS	S Inter ons S)	acti N/A (D x	NS	Interacti ons (D x S)	N/A	NS

## Table 3. Soil chemical parameters

S= Significant at 5% probability level; NS = Non Significant



Fig.1. Soil particle analysis (%) at different distances and season

The higher pH of oil-polluted soils was possibly due to the presence of hydrocarbon; it hinders the leaching of basic salts by binding which then probably posed a major resistance to the removal of such basic ions [37;38].

The findings were in agreement with [21] who reported that contamination of soil with crude oil showed a 26% increase in the soil pH value because of the bacterial decomposition of oil in the anaerobic environment due to oil-blocked soil pores. This change signified that spilling crude oil on agricultural soil tended to buffer the polluted soil towards neutral pH [39,40]. observed a gradual increase in soil pH with increased oil concentrations. The effect was attributed to the accumulation of exchangeable bases in the oil-polluted soils. This affected the ionic stability of the soil and conversely nutrient availability and uptake by crop plants.

#### 3.2.2.2 Organic carbon content

The results revealed that the soil organic carbon content of soils was maximum at the effluent point, i.e. D1 (13. 03 g kg-1) and it decreased gradually with the increase in distance from the drilling point. The lowest soil organic carbon content was at DC, i.e. 11.2 g kg-1. From the present experiment, it was observed that the soil organic carbon content varies significantly concerning distance from the crude oil effluent point. Among the distances, Dc and D2 were highly significant. When seasons were considered, then no significant difference was observed in soil organic carbon content, presented in table 3.

The possible reason for the increase in organic carbon content in polluted points could be due to presence of petroleum hydrocarbons in the crude oil, which might have resulted in the agronomical addition of the carbon content to the soil [35]. The findings were in agreement with [21]. They reported that contamination of soil with crude oil showed an increase in the organic carbon in the contaminated soil than the control soil because of the bacterial decomposition of oil in the anaerobic environment due to oil-blocked soil pores.

#### 3.2.2.3 Electrical conductivity

From the present experiment, it was observed that the soil electrical conductivity content varies significantly for distance from the crude oil effluent point. Among the distances, D1 and D3 were highly significant when seasons are considered, and then no significant difference was observed in soil electrical conductivity content. According to the results, electrical conductivity was found highest in the distance D1 for both rainy and autumn season, i.e. 0.33 dS m-1 and 0.34 dS m-1 respectively and lowest electrical conductivity was found in unpolluted site DC for both rainy and autumn season, i.e. 0.28 and 0.29 respectively (table 3).

The possible reason for the increase in electrical conductivity content in near distance to the polluted point could be due to the accumulation of exchangeable bases in the oil-polluted soils. It affects the ionic stability of the soil and conversely nutrient availability and uptake by crop plants [40]. [35] reported that as crude oil

consists of petroleum hydrocarbons, a considerable amount of ions could bond with the existing ions in the soil.

Soil available nutrients.

#### 3.2.2.4 Available nitrogen

From the present experiment, it was observed that amount of available nitrogen content of soil varied significantly in different distances from the crude oil effluent point. When seasons are considered, then no significant difference was observed in available nitrogen content. Maximum available nitrogen content was recorded in the distance D2 i.e. 775.6 kg ha-1 and minimum in the distance DC i.e. 681.1 kg ha-1 (table 3). Among the distances, D3 and DC were highly significant. The findings were in agreement with [21] who reported that contamination of crude oil increased the available nitrogen content of the soil by 33% to 103% over the control soil. This was due to bacterial decomposition of oil in the anaerobic environment due to oil-blocked soil pores.

#### 3.2.2.5 Available phosphorous

From the present experiment, it was observed that amount of available phosphorous content of soil varied significantly in different distances from the crude oil effluent point. Among the distances, D1 and D3 were highly significant When seasons are considered, then no significant difference was observed in available phosphorous content Maximum available phosphorous content was recorded in the distance D1 i.e. 40.9 kg ha-1 and minimum in the distance DC i.e. 35.6 kg ha-1(table 3). The findings were in agreement with [21] They reported that contamination of soil with crude oil showed a 33% to 103% increase in the available phosphorous content than the control soil because of the bacterial decomposition of oil the anaerobic in environment due to oil-blocked soil pores.

#### 3.2.2.6 Available potassium

Soil available potassium increased with increased oil effluent concentration and decreased with distance. Available potassium was maximum near the drilling point D1 i.e. 40.9 kg ha-1 whereas minimum available potassium content was found in the distance DC i.e. 35.6 kg ha-1 (table 3) It was observed that amount of available phosphorous content of soil varied significantly in different distances from the crude

oil effluent point. Among the distances. D1 and D3 were highly significant. When seasons were considered, then no significant difference was observed in available phosphorous content. The possible reason for the increase in potassium content in the oil spilled soil may be due to the fact that sodium and potassium salts are being used in drilling operations, which find their way to the nearby water bodies along with the effluents and thus an ionic concentration may build-up resulting in more potassium in crude oilcontaminated soil. Further, deposition of more potassium in deeper soils takes place which moved in the top layer of the soil during the oil drilling process and got deposited in the top layer of the soil near the effluent point [41].

#### 4. CONCLUSION

The results obtained from the present investigation revealed that oil effluent was observed to be a major factor for damaging plant health as well as soil quality in Shalmari-1 gaon and the impact was more prominent near the effluent point. Due to the presence of oil effluent, oil particles block the soil pores, which led to a water stress condition. A gradual decline in relative turgidity, stomata count, leaf area, plucking point density, number of primaries, total chlorophyll, caffeine, and total polyphenol content was observed. In the case of soil parameters, there was a decrease in porosity, hydraulic conductivity content, whereas the increase in bulk density, organic carbon content, electrical conductivity.

The present investigation was studied to know the effects of oil effluent on plant and soil health. The study resulted in negative effects on the growth of tea plants and soil health. Thus, further studies should focus on strategies that can reduce the impact of oil effluent on tea plantation.

#### **COMPETING INTERESTS**

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Udo EJ, Fayemi, AAA. The Effect of Oil Pollution of Soil on Germination, Growth and Nutrient Uptake of Corn 1. Journal of Environmental Quality. 1975;4(4):537-540.
- 2. Schutzendubel A, Polle A. Plant responses to abiotic stresses: heavy metal-induced oxidative stress and protection by

mycorrhization J. Expt. Bot. 2002; 53(372):1351-1365

- 3. Wang Y, Feng, J, Lin Q, Lyu X, Wang, X, Wang, G. Effects of crude oil contamination on soil physical and chemical properties in Momoge wetland of China. Chinese Geographical Science. 2013;23(6):708-715.
- Barua D, Buragohain J, Sarma, SK. Certain Physico-chemical changes in the soil brought about by contamination of crude oil in two oil fields of Assam, NE India. European Journal of Experimental Biology. 2011;1(3):154-161.
- 5. Weatherley P. Studies in the water relations of the cotton plant. I. The field measurement of water deficits in leaves. New Phytologist. 1950; 81-97.
- 6. Barrs HD, Weatherley PE. A reexamination of the relative turgidity technique for estimating water deficits in leaves. Australian journal of biological sciences. 1962;15(3):413-428.
- Čatský J. Determination of water deficit in disks cut out from leaf blades. Biologia Plantarum. 1960;2(1): 76.
- Beakbane AB, Majumdar PK. A relationship between stomatal density and growth potential in apple rootstocks. J. Hort. Sei. 1975;59:285-289.
- 9. Pandey SK, Singh H. A simple, costeffective method for leaf area estimation. Journal of Botany; 2011.
- Robinson D, Dobrenz. Specific Leaf Weights: Daily Trends in an Alfalfa Field; 1986
- 11. Blake GR, Hartge KH. Bulk density. Methods of soil analysis: Part 1 Physical and Mineralogical Methods.1986;5:363-375
- 12. Barua TC, Barthakur H.P. A text book of soil analysis. Vikash publishing, PVT Ltd, New Delhi.1997.
- Jackson ML. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall of India Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi-1.1973
- 14. Allison LE, Black CA. 1965, Methods of Soil Analysis, pp1372-1378
- 15. Piper CS. Soil and Plant Analyses. University of Adelaide. 1942
- Subbiah BV, Asija, G L. A rapid method for the estimation of nitrogen in soil. Current Science. 1956; 26: 259-260.
- 17. Han G, Cui B X, Zhang XX, Li K. The effects of petroleum-contaminated soil on photosynthesis of Amorpha fruticosa seedlings. International journal of

environmental science and technology. 2016;13(10): 2383-2392.

- Merkl N, Schultze-Kraft, R, Infante C. Phytoremediation in the tropics—the effect of crude oil on the growth of tropical plants. Bioremediation Journal. 2004;8(3-4):177-184.
- Merkl N, Schultze-Kraft, R, Infante, C. Assessment of tropical grasses and legumes for phytoremediation of petroleum-contaminated soils. Water, air, and soil pollution. 2005;165(1-4): 195-209.
- 20. Upadhyaya, H, Panda SK. Abiotic stress responses in tea [Camellia sinensis L (O) Kuntze]: an overview. Reviews in Agricultural Science. 2013;1:1-10.
- 21. Essien OE, John, IA. Impact of crude-oil spillage pollution and chemical remediation on agricultural soil properties and crop growth. Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management. 2010;14(4).
- 22. Naidoo G, Naidoo Y, Achar P. Responses of the mangroves Avicennia marina and Bruguiera gymnorrhiza to oil contamination. Flora-Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants. 2010;205(5):357-362.
- 23. 23. Reis DJC. Environmental control in petroleum engineering. Elsevier; 1996.
- 24. 24. Suprayogi B, Murray FA field experiment of the physical and chemical effects of two oils on mangroves. Environmental and experimental Botany. 1999;42(3):221-229
- 25. 25. Baker JM. The effects of oils on plants. Environmental Pollution 1970;1(1):27-44.
- 26. 26. Pezeshki SR, Hester, MW, Lin Q, Nyman JA. The effects of oil spill and clean-up on dominant US Gulf coast marsh macrophytes: a review. Environmental pollution. 2000;108(2):129-139.
- Gill LS, Nyawuame HGK, Ehikhametalor AO. Effect of crude oil on the growth and anatomical features of Chromolaena odorata (L.) K. & R. Newsletter. 1992;6(1):1-6.
- 28. Baruah P, Saikia RR, Baruah PP, Deka S. Effect of crude oil contamination on the chlorophyll content and morpho-anatomy of Cyperus brevifolius (Rottb.) Hassk. Environmental Science and Pollution Research. 2014;21(21):12530-12538.
- 29. Cochard H, Coll L, Le Roux X, Améglio T. Unraveling the effects of plant hydraulics on stomatal closure during water stress in walnut. Plant physiology. 2002;128(1): 282-290.

- Okonokhua BO, Ikhajiagbe B, Anoliefo G O, Emede T O. The effects of spent engine oil on soil properties and growth of maize (*Zea mays* L.). Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management. 2007;11(3).
- Lopes A, da Rosa-Osman SM, Piedade MTF. Effects of crude oil on survival, morphology, and anatomy of two aquatic macrophytes from the Amazon floodplains. Hydrobiologia. 2009;636(1): 295-305.
- Larcher W. Physiological plant ecology: ecophysiology and stress physiology of functional groups. Springer Science & Business Media; 2003.
- Abosede EE. Effect of crude oil pollution on some soil physical properties. Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science. 2013;6(3):14-17.
- Borah KK, Bhabajit B, Sarma HP. Variation of bulk density and organic matter in soils of tea garden belt of undivided Darrang district, Assam. Archives of applied science research. 2009;1(2):159-164
- 35. Devatha C P, Vishal AV,Rao JPC. Investigation of physical and chemical characteristics on soil due to crude oil contamination and its remediation. Applied Water Science. 2019;9(4):89.
- 36. Ihem EE, Osuji GE, Onweremadu EU, Uzoho BU, Nkwopara UN, Ahukemere C

M, Okoli NH. Variability in selected Properties of Crude Oil–Polluted Soils of Izombe, Northern Niger Delta, Nigeria. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. 2015;4(3):29.

- Ogboghodo IA, Erebor EB, Osemwota IO, Isitekhale HH. The effects of application of poultry manure to crude oil polluted soils on maize (*Zea mays*) growth and soil properties. Environmental Monitoring and assessment. 2004; 96(1-3): 153-161.
- Okoro D, Oviasogie PO, Oviasogie FE. Soil quality assessment 33 months after crude oil spillage and clean-up. Chemical Speciation & Bioavailability. 2011;23(1): 1-6.
- Ellis Jr R, Adams Jr RS. Contamination of soils by petroleum hydrocarbons. In Advances in agronomy, Academic Press. 1961;13;197-216
- 40. Uquetan UI, Osang JE, Egor AO, Essoka PA, Alozie SI, Bawan AM. A case study of the effects of oil pollution on soil properties and growth of tree crops in Cross River State, Nigeria. Int Res J Pure Appl Phys. 2017;5(2):19-28
- 41. Deka S, Devi A. Impact of flaring of group gathering station (GGS) on physicochemical properties of rice field soil at Lakowa oil field of Assam. Poll. Res. 1994;13(2):101-105.



#### APPENDIX

#### Fig. 2. Metereological data of dibrugarh district, 2019-2020

© 2022 Bharadwaj et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84652