



Economic Evaluation of Front Line Demonstration on Soybean Cultivation in Madhya Pradesh

Yamini Raut ^{a≡*}, Anil Kumar Mishra ^b and Veena Rathore ^{c#}

^a RKVY-RAFTAAR Project, AEABM, SKUAST-Jammu (J&K)-180009, India.

^b Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Shajapur, Rajmata Vijayraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior (MP), India.

^c Department of Agriculture, Medicaps University, Indore (MP), India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2022/v40i430870

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84142>

Original Research Article

Received 05 January 2022

Accepted 10 March 2022

Published 14 March 2022

ABSTRACT

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Shajapur conducted 60 front line demonstrations of soybean cultivation on the farmer's field for a period of 4 years from 2012-13 through 2015-16 to transfer scientific soybean cultivation technology among the farmers of Shajapur district. The result revealed that the improved varieties of soybean JS- 335, JS-93-05 and JS-95-60 recorded 9.06 per cent, 14.03 per cent and 10.14 per cent higher yield respectively in demonstration plot compared to farmer practices. The increase in productivity was observed under recommended technology over the check plots i.e. 18.18 per cent, 7.63 per cent, 11.50 per cent and 13.04 per cent during 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively. The productivity was found better under demo plots as compared to local practices. Therefore, soybean cultivation has broad scope to increase the area and production in Shajapur district. The demonstration has raised an additional income of the farmer Rs 4500 to 11000 per ha and 3.49 to 4.68 increment benefit cost ratio.

Keywords: *Extension gap; front line demonstration; Krishi Vigyan Kendra; soybean cultivation; technology gap.*

[≡] Assistant Manager;

[#] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: yaminiraut1992@gmail.com;

1. INTRODUCTION

Soybean is the major oilseed crop of Madhya Pradesh that boosted the economy of the state. It is legume crop but widely grown for oil purpose. It has great potential as a kharif season oilseed. Besides being a rich source of protein, they are also important for sustainable agriculture enriching the soil through biological nitrogen fixation. These crops fit well in the various cropping system without disturbing the main cereal crops. Hence, it is need of the day that we concentrate in developing high yield varieties with matching production technologies. During 2019-20 the area under the soybean crop was 12198.71 thousand ha with production of 11225.85 thousand MT with productivity level of 921 kg/ha in Madhya Pradesh state (www.sopa.org). A wide gap existed in the potential yield and farmers' yield on soybean crop in Madhya Pradesh. In view of this, Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Shajapur conducted the Front line demonstration (FLD) on soybean crop to know the yield gaps between FLDs and farmers' field, extent technology adoption. The area under soybean was very high in Madhya Pradesh but productivity is but very low due to non availability of seeds of improved variety, poor management and biotic and a biotic stress. The main aims of organizing these FLDs in farmers field is to bridge wide gap between demonstration field yield and famers yield and popularizing the cultivation of soybean in large area of Shajapur district of Madhya Pradesh.

2. METERIALS AND METHODS

A total of 60 front line demonstrations (15 demonstrations in each year) were organized by the Krishi Vigyan Kendra in the Shajapur district of Madhya Pradesh to demonstrate the impact of research emanated production technology on soybean productivity over a period of four years during kharif season from 2012-13 to 2015-16. The year 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 were laid out covering 05 adopted villages of the Shajapur district. The improved package of practices included improved varieties (JS-335, JS-93-05 and JS-95-60) seed treatment with fungicides (thiram carbendazim in 2:1 ratio @ 3gm/kg seed) and inoculated with bio fertilizer (phosphorus solubilizing bacteria cultures) recommended dose of fertilizer (20:60:20 NPK) and need based pest management (one spray at imadachloropid at 25 DAS + one spray of trizophos at 45 days). The soil of the demonstrations belongs to verity soils like black

cotton soil, laterite soil and alluvial soil with low to medium fertility. The area under each front line demonstration was on 0.4 ha.

The demonstrations were planted between 20 June to 5th July with seed rate 80-100 Kg/ha. The recommended dose of NPK through 12:32:16 NPK per hectare was applied as basal. The selection of cultivators was done on the basis of Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) action plan and care has been taken to lay out the demonstration on road side to facilitate the demonstration of technology.

To evaluate the performances of soybean cultivation under these demonstrations and the farmers' practices, the yield data were collected from the same practices by random crop cutting method and analysis was done by using simple statistical tools. The farm profitability and B: C ratio was calculated by using the formula as given below:

1. Percent increase= Demonstration yield- farmers yield/ Farmers yield X 100
2. Technology Gap= Potential yield – Demonstration yield
3. Extension Gap = Demonstration yield – farmer's yield
4. Technology Index= Potential yield – Demonstration yield/ Potential yield X 100
5. For estimation of cost of cultivation, Cost concepts were used
6. Net Farm Income= Gross income – Cost 'C3'
7. Benefit Cost Ratio= Gross income / Total expenses (Cost C3)

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSION

3.1 Varieties

Among soybean varieties presented (Table 1), variety JS-335 has reported highest yield 17.88 q/ha. The next best was JS-93-05 (17.34 q/ha) followed by JS-95-60 with (16.17 q/ha). Varieties JS-93-05, JS-95-60 and JS 335 recorded 14.30, 10.14, and 9.06% respectively higher seed yield under recommended package at practices over local checks with farmer practices.

3.2 Grain Yield

The productivity of soybean cultivation ranged from 17.30 q/ha to 28.50 q/ha with highest yield 28.50 q/ha under recommended improved production and production technologies. The

Table 1. Performance of improved soybean varieties against local varieties on farmer's fields

Varieties	Yield (q/ha)		Yield of local Checks (q/ha)	Percentage increase in yield over check
	Highest	Average		
JS-335	20.40	17.88	16.32	9.06
JS-93-05	18.60	17.34	15.17	14.30
JS-95-60	19.50	16.17	16.17	10.14

Table 2. Performance of improve technologies of soybean cultivation on productivity through demonstrations

Year	No. of demos	Yield (q/ha)			Increase over local check (%)	Technology Extension gap (q/ha)	Technological gap (q/ha)	Technological Index (%)
		Potential	Demos plot	Local check				
2012-13	15	30.00	20.40	16.50	18.18	9.60	3.90	32.00
2013-14	15	30.00	28.50	18.50	7.63	1.50	10.00	05.00
2014-15	15	30.00	19.30	16.23	11.50	10.70	3.07	35.00
2015-16	15	30.00	17.30	12.33	13.04	12.70	4.97	42.33

Table 3. Cost of cultivation, net return and B: C ration under improved and local management practices

Year	Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)		Net return (Rs/ha)		Additional cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Additional net Return Rs/ha	Incremental Benefit Cost ratio	
	Demo	Local check	Demo	Local check			Demo	Local check
2013-14	16000	15200	44000	39500	800	4500	3.75	3.59
2014-15	13000	12700	41863	35700	300	6163	4.22	3.81
2015-16	13000	11080	32376	25466	1920	6910	3.49	3.29

data indicated that (Table 2) the productivity was found to be increased under the demonstration plots over the check plots 18.18%, 7.63%, 11.50% and 13.04% during 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively. The higher yield of soybean could be attributed to adoption of high yielding varieties, seed treatment, balance dose of fertilizer, weed control, integrated pest management and integrated disease management control measures. The technology gap was observed 1.50 to 12.70 q/ha may be attributed to dissimilarity in the soil fertility status, local climatic condition, soil fertility status. The extension gap was found 3.07 to 10.00 q/ha during the four years of demonstrations. Similarly, yield enhancement, technology and extension gap in different crops under FLD were supported by Raut et al. [1] in green gram, Raghav et al. [2] in chick Pea, Sangeetha et al. [3] in major pulse, Singh et al. [4] in pulse, Bora et al. [5] in rapeseed, Dwivedi et al. [6] in blackgram, Patil et al. [7] in oilseed

crops, Saikia et al. [8] in blackgram, Raj et al. [9] in soybean, Hiremath et al. [10] in chilli, Mishra et al. [11] in potato, Raghuvanshi et al. [12] in soybean, Jeengar et al. [13] in maize and Tiwari et al. [14] in gram, Deka et al. [15] in toria, Meena et al. [16] in chickpea.

3.3 Economic Parameter

The economic analysis made on the basis prevailing market rates (Table 3) showed that the demonstration gave higher net return of Rs. 46000/ha, Rs.44000/ha Rs. 41863/ha and Rs.32376/ha as compared to Rs. 35000/ha, Rs. 39500/ha, Rs.35700/ha and Rs. 25446/ha under local practices in the corresponding seasons. An additional income per ha was generated Rs.11000 in the year 2012-13, Rs.4500 in 2013-14, Rs.6163 in 2014-15 and Rs.6910 in 2015-16. As far as cost of cultivation was concerned, on an average 830 Rs per ha addition cost was observed under improved practices. Incremental benefit cost ratio under demonstration was

observed 4.68, 3.75, 4.22 and 3.49 as compared with local check 3.85, 3.59, 3.81 and 3.29 during 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively years.

4. CONCLUSION

The result of front line demonstration of soybean have clearly showed that growing of soybean variety JS-335, JS-93-05 and JS-95-60 under improved management practices including proper seed rate, seed treatment weed control, recommended fertilizer, integrated pest management , integrated disease management proved more productivity and remunerative then that grown with additional practices. On the basis of result, farmers were motivated to adopt new technology which applied under front line demonstration.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Raut Y, Mishra AK, Napit S. Impact of Front Line Demonstration to Transfer of Technology in Green Gram. *Economic Affairs*. 2021;66(2):299-304. DOI: 10.46852/0424-2513.2.2021.15
2. Raghav DK, Indrajeet, Kherwar D, Kumar A, Singh AK, Chauhan JK. Role of frontline demonstration on chick pea for enhancing the production in district Ramgarh of Jharkhand. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. 2021;21(1):30-34.
3. Sangeetha R, Ashok KR, Priyanka PA. Scenario of major pulse production in Tamil Nadu: A Growth Decomposition Approach. *Economic Affairs*. 2020;65(2): 301-307. DOI: 10.46852/0424-2513.2.2020.24
4. Singh RP, Singh AK, Singh RP, Singh RK, Singh M. Impact of cluster frontline demonstration on pulses productivity and profitability in farmer's field. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*. 2020;56(1):134-141.
5. Bora MS, Sasmal D, Borah D, Kalita H. Impact of front line demonstration on the yield and economics of rapeseed under rainfed condition in Namsai District of Arunachal Pradesh, India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*. 2020;9(09):3422-3427. DOI:10.20546/ijcmas.2020.909.424
6. Dwivedi RK, Tiwari BK, Baghel KS. Role of cluster frontline demonstration in enhancement of blackgram (*Vigna mungo*) production. *Plant Archives*. 2018;18(1): 1088-1090.
7. Patil SS, Mahale MM, Chavan SS. Impact of front line demonstrations (FLD) on oilseed crops in South Konkan Coastal Zone of Maharashtra. *Current Agriculture Research Journal*. 2018;6(3):355-364.
8. Saikia N, Nath KD, Chowdhury P. Impact of cluster frontline demonstrations on popularization of blackgram var. PU 31 in Cachar district of Barak Valley region of Assam. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*. 2018;7(4):940-942.
9. Raj AD, Yadav V, Jadav HR, Rathod JH. Impact of front line demonstration on soybean in tribal belt of Gujarat. *Agriculture Update*. 2014;9(4):587-589. DOI: 10.15740/HAS/AU/9.4/587-589
10. Hiremath SM, Nagaraju MV. Evaluation of on farm front line demonstration on yield of Chillli. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2010;23(2):341-342.
11. Mishra DK, Paliwal DK, Tailor RS, Deshwal AK. Impact of front line demonstration of potato. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. 2009;9(3): 26-28.
12. Raghuwanshi SR, Raghuwanshi OPS, Umat R, Ambawati GR, Bhargva KS. Productivity enhancement of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] through improved technology in farmers field. *Soybean Research*. 2010;8:85-88.
13. Jeengar KL, Panwar P, Pareek OP. Front line demonstration on Maize in Bhilwara district of Rajasthan. *Current Agriculture*. 2006;30(1/2):115-116.
14. Tiwari RB, Singh V, Parihar P. Role of front line demonstration in transfer of gram production technology. *Maharashtra Journal of Extension Education*. 2013; 22(1):19.
15. Deka P, Rabha H, Ojha I, Borah P, Borah D. Impact Assessment of Cluster Front Line Demonstration on Popularization of Toria in Udalguri District of Assam. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*. 2021;39(3):52-59. DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2021/v39i330545

16. Meena ML. Effect of front line demonstrations of Chickpea Cv. RSG-888 on Farmers' Field in Rainfed Condition of Rajasthan, India. Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology. 2017;18(2):1-7. DOI: 10.9734/AJAEES/2017/34651

© 2022 Raut et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

*The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/84142>*