Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International



34(25B): 70-77, 2022; Article no.JPRI.82777 ISSN: 2456-9119 (Past name: British Journal of Pharmaceutical Research, Past ISSN: 2231-2919, NLM ID: 101631759)

In Hospital Mortality and Adverse Events in Highrisk Patients Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention for St Elevation Myocardial Infarction

Ashok Kumar ^{a≡}, Muhammad Rahman Khalid ^{b*ø}, Rajesh Kumar ^{b#}, Kamran Ahmed Khan^{bø,} Sanam Khowaja ^{bø}, Altaf Hussain^a

^a National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases (NICVD)Satellite Centre Hyderabad, Karachi, Pakistan. ^b National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Karachi, Pakistan.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/JPRI/2022/v34i25B35964 Open Peer Review History: This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <u>https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/82777</u>

Original Research Article

Received 05 January 2022 Accepted 07 March 2022 Published 21 March 2022

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine frequency of in hospital mortality and adverse events in high-risk patients undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) for ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction (STEMI).

Study Design: Descriptive case series study

Setting: The Department of Adult Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Karachi.

Duration: From 5th January 2019 To 4th July 2019

Material and Methods: All the patients of acute myocardial infarction (MI), age between18 to 80 years, and undergoing Primary PCI of either gender were included. Study outcomes such as inhospital mortality, cardiogenic shock, heart failure, no reflow phenomenon, and ventricular arrhythmia were recorded. Data was collected via study proforma and analysis was done by using SPSS version 26.

Assistant Professor, (Adult Cardiology);

^e Post Fellow in Interventional Cardiology;

[#] Assistant Professor;

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: drashokumar1779@gmail.com

Results: There were 81.9% males and 18.1% females. 16.7% cases diabetic, 42.7% were hypertensive, 38% with family history, 24.7% with smoking and 74% with obesity. Mortality was 14.7%, cardiogenic shock was 12.0%, heart failure was 18.7%, no reflow phenomenon was 28% and ventricular arrhythmia was 16%. Significant association of mortality was found with age and obesity. Cardiogenic shock and ventricular arrhythmia were significant according to gender and heart failure findings were significantly linked to hypertension (p-<0.05). **Conclusion:** Mortality rate was high among STEMI patients who underwent primary PCI. No reflow

phenomenon was the most observed event among these patients.

Keywords: Frequency; in hospital mortality; adverse events; high risk patients; primary PCI; STelevation MI.

1. INTRODUCTION

Cardiovascular disease is a major global health issue that has reached pandemic levels. Low and middle-income nations, such as India and Pakistan in South Asia, play a large role in burden of cardiovascular disease as the leading cause of mortality worldwide, approximately 78.3% of all deaths and 86.3 percent among all disability-adjusted years of the life [1,2]. Acute MI is the most common causes of mortality and morbidity around the world [3]. It has been suggested that mechanical or the pharmacological reperfusion should be conducted as soon as possible in patients who present with occurrence of STEMI during twelve hours of the onset of sign and symptoms and those having persistent STEMI or new or newly assumed left bundle-branch block [4].

Primary PCI has replaced thrombolysis as the preferred modality of reperfusion for acute STEMI, it has shown benefits such as recurrent MI and mortality [5-7]. The thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction (TIMI) risk score, that is a simple evaluation basis on clinically data during arrival of the cases at hospital and provides valuable predictive information by allowing correct assessment of high-risk cases, can be used to risk stratify STEMI patients [8,9]. High risk patients are those having TIMI risk score of ≥5 [10,11]. Despite primary PCI showing greatest benefit in high-risk patients, [10,12] it has been seen that the risk of death and adverse events increase as risk factors increase [13-15]. early reperfusion's importance in reducing ischemia damage to the myocardium had long been acknowledged by cardiologists. The time from door to balloon is a crucial factor of care quality. In developing countries like Pakistan, financial restrictions and big-time spending in judgement due to patients' and relatives' lack of understanding of the time' importance in management critical of illnesses like myocardial infarction have demonstrated to be

significant obstacles to following door-to-balloon time suggestions [16-18]. González-Pacheco H et al found out that the incidence of mortality and adverse complications among high-risk cases with TIMI > 5 undergoing primary PCI were mortality 14.8%: heart failure 15.3%: development of cardiogenic shock 10.9%: ventricular arrhythmias 14.8%; and no-reflow phenomenon 22.4% [11]. After a robust literature search it has been found that there is paucity of local data on the incidence of mortality and adverse events in high-risk patient undergoing primary PCI in our community, and with one study finding out that there are hindrances in our society that limit patients in achieving optimum quality of care [16]. We expect the results in our society to be different from that of other part of world, moreover the findings of this study will further help us in allocation of resources so as to further organize our system. This gives a compelling case for doing research in our population.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

descriptive case series study This was conducted in the Department of Adult Cardiology, National Institute of Cardiovascular Diseases, Karachi, during six months from January 2019 to July 2019. Patients of Acute MI, age between 18 to 80 years and underwent PCI of either gender were included. Patients with a past history of Acute MI, history of any cardiac surgery, and those who refuse to give consent were all excluded. All the participants were informed regarding the study's goal and benefits Demographic detail and clinical examination were done. Primary PCI interventions were carried out by a senior cardiologist having minimum experience of >5 years. All of the study subjects were monitored during hospital stay (at most for one week) and study outcomes such as in-hospital mortality, cardiogenic shock, heart failure, no reflow phenomenon, and ventricular arrhythmia were recorded. By closely adhering to inclusion and exclusion criteria as well as stratification, confounding variables and bias were avoided. Only authorized people had access to information regarding patients, which was maintained secure. Data were entered and analysis using SPSS version-21.

3. RESULTS

Patients' average age was 58.41 ± 13.51 years and out of all, 81.3% cases were males and 18.7% were females. Mean height, weight and BMI were 156.70 ± 6.35 cm, 73.20 ± 11.57 kg and 29.71 ± 3.67 kg/m² respectively. Among 150 patients, 16.7% had diabetes mellitus, 42.7%were hypertensive, 38% with family history,24.7% with smoking and 74% with obesity. In this study cardiogenic shock was 12%, heart failure was 18.7%, no reflow phenomenon was 28%, ventricular arrhythmia was 16% and mortality was 14.7% as presented from Table-1.

Stratification with respect to gender, patient's age, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, smoking, family history and obesity was done to observe effect of these modifiers on outcomes (mortality, cardiogenic shock, heart failure, no reflow phenomenon and ventricular arrhythmia). There was a significant association of mortality with age and obesity (p=<0.05), cardiogenic shock with gender (p=0.030), heart failure with hypertension (p=0.001), and ventricular arrhythmia with gender (p=0.010), results shown in table-2 to Table-4.

Variables			Frequency (%)
Age			58.41 <u>+</u> 13.51 years
Height			156.70 <u>+</u> 6.35
Weight			73.20 <u>+</u> 11.57
BMI			29.71 <u>+</u> 3.67
Gender		Male	122(81.3%)
		Female	28(18.7%)
Diabetes mell	itus	Yes	25(16.7%)
		No	125(83.3%)
Hypertension		Yes	64(42.7%)
		No	86(57.3%)
Family history	y	Yes	57(38.0%)
		No	93(62.0%)
Smoking		Yes	37(24.7%)
		No	113(75.3%)
Obesity		Yes	111(74%)
		No	39(26%)
Outcome	Cardiogenic shock	Yes	18(12.0%)
		No	132(88.0%)
	Heart failure	Yes	28(18.7%)
		No	122(81.3%)
	No reflow phenomenon	Yes	42(28.0%)
		No	108(72.0%)
	Ventricular arrhythmia	Yes	24(16.0%)
		No	126(84.0%)
	Mortality	Yes	22(14.7%)
		No	128(85.3%)

Table 2. Cardiogenic shock and heart failure according to demographic characteristics (n=150)

Variables		Cardiogenic Shock		P-Value		Heart Failure	P-Value
		Yes	No		Yes	No	-
Gender	Male	18	104	0.030*	26	96	0.083**
	Female	00	28		2	26	
Age group	≤60 years	9	73	0.672**	12	70	0.164**
	>60 years	9	59		16	52	
Diabetes	Yes	3	22	1.000**	6	19	0.453**
Mellitus	No	15	110		22	103	
Hypertension	Yes	9	55	0.502**	20	44	0.001*

Variables		Cardi	ogenic Shock	P-Value		Heart Failure	P-Value
		Yes	No	_	Yes	No	-
	No	9	77	_	8	78	-
Family History	Yes	8	49	0.548**	9	48	0.479**
	No	10	83		19	74	
Smoking	Yes	4	33	0.798**	7	30	0.964**
	No	14	99		21	92	
Obesity	Yes	15	96	0.336**	24	87	0.117**
	No	03	36		4	35	

*Significant at 0.05 levels, ** Not Significant at 0.05 levels

Table 3. Frequency of no reflow phenomenon & ventricular arrhythmia according to demographic characteristics (n=150)

Variables		No	Reflow	P-Value	Ventricu	lar Arrhythmias	P-Value
		Yes	No		Yes	No	_
Gender	Male	31	91	0.140**	24	98	0.010*
	Female	11	17		00	28	
Age group	≤60 years	24	58	0.704**	10	72	0.163**
	>60 years	18	50		14	54	
Diabetes Mellitus	Yes	9	16	0.329**	4	21	1.000*
	No	33	92		20	105	
Hypertension	Yes	18	46	0.977**	11	53	0.732**
	No	24	62		13	73	
Family History	Yes	20	37	0.130**	8	49	0.607**
	No	22	71		16	77	
Smoking	Yes	11	26	0.787**	7	30	0.577**
-	No	31	82		17	96	
Obesity	Yes	32	79	0.703**	14	97	0.056**
-	No	10	29		10	29	

Table 4. Mortality according to according to demographic characteristics (n=150)

Variables			Mortality	Total	P-Value
		Yes	No		
Gender	Male	19	103	122	0.512**
	Female	3	25	28	
Age group	≤60 years	5	77	82	0.001*
	>60 years	17	51	68	
Diabetes Mellitus	Yes	6	19	25	0.148**
	No	16	109	125	
Hypertension	Yes	8	56	64	0.518**
	No	14	72	86	
Family History	Yes	9	48	57	0.761**
	No	13	80	93	
Smoking	Yes	5	32	37	0.819**
	No	17	96	113	
Obesity	Yes	11	100	111	0.005*
	No	11	28	39	

*Significant at 0.05 levels, ** Not Significant at 0.05 levels

4. DISCUSSION

The goal of this study was to find out how often in-hospital mortality and adverse events were in high-risk patients undergoing primary PCI for (STEMI). Multivessel disease is a well-known factor linked to a greater risk of CS in STEMI patients who have primary PCI. The prevalence of CS in individuals with STEMI has been linked to the extent and severity of coronary artery disease. In our all-comers cohort, cardiac mortality was relatively high (>7%) within the first month, as one might predict. Malignant arrhythmias, cerebral anoxia after cardiac arrest and the cardiogenic shock, were the significant causes of mortality in the aftermath of the index event. However, cardiac mortality dropped significantly after the first month (to 1.5 percent per year), suggesting that individuals whose survived the initial phase of a STEMI managed by primary PCI have a good long-term prognosis, and that late cardiac mortality in unselected allcomers is equivalent to that of earlier selected cases [19], and 20 deaths in laboratory of catheterization or during 24 hours of admission in hospital occurred among 7.9% and 29.3% of patients, respectively, in research. Re-infarction (1.9%) or repeat emergency PCI were seen in a limited percentage of patients (3.8 percent). In roughly one-third of patients, recurrent cardiac arrest during hospitalization occurred following primary PCI (32.7 percent) [12]. Early revascularization improved the rate of survival in CS patients, according to the shock study, who have had primary PCI116, but the best revascularization method for the cases of shock having MVD is unknown. This is especially important because MVD affects up to 87 percent of CS12 patients and is linked to a higher mortality rate [21-23]. There is certainly a case to made for more comprehensive he revascularization among cases of MVD having CS who are resistant to IRA intervention. Despite advancements in reperfusion and adjunctive therapy, independent predictors of death from any cause and any reinfarction have not changed appreciably, according to a study. The GUSTO-I and TIMI trials found that Killip class at presentation was a predictor of death in the fibrinolysis era [24,25]. Similar results were seen other studies, which compared earlyin generation paclitaxel-eluting stents against BMS [26-28]. Killip class continues to be the strongest predictor of all causes of death and any subsequent reinfarction, regardless of function of left ventricular or the amount of CAD at This represents the severity of baseline. hemodynamic impairment in these cases, that easilv detected clinically. can be Aae. hypertension, (LVEF), final TIMI flow, and CK peak value, which have frequently been identified as the predictors of the mortality and reinfarction in the cases of STEMI treated by the fibrinolysis along with primary PCI, also were observed to be predictors of the mortality and reinfarction in previous studies [29]. They demonstrated that an increasing in the TIMI risk score for STEMI is related with the raised mortality during hospital stay and in the same patient group whose got primary PCI, it provides a good predictive value for death that seems to be comparable towards the CADILLAC risk score. The CADILLAC risk score is said to have a better predictive value

regarding death at thirty days and a year compared to other risk scores of primary angioplasties since it combines angiographic data like as as the occurrence of three-vessel disease and final TIMI flow, and also the ventriculography-determined left ventricle EF [11]. The success made in lowering in-hospital mortality in STEMI patients emphasises the significance of anticipating other post-procedural problems that could have a significant impact on patient outcomes [11]. Total mortality rate and other adverse events like as stroke, nonfatal reinfarction and haemorrhage were less common in the primary PCI group than in the thrombolysis group, according to Keeley et al's meta-analysis. The highest benefit of primary PCI, according to Kent et al., is found in high-risk patients [30]. Four key characteristics at the time of presentation were identified by Negasso et al. in a decision-tree structure predictive classification for acute MI underwent PCI to observed the inhospital complications and cardiogenic shock. heart failure, ageing, and diabetes are all factors to consider after intervention [31]. Although the TIMI risk score was designed to predict the death rate, it also observed as a group of the high-risk cases having TIMI risk 5, with frequent inhospital mortality and complications rate like cardiogenic shock, heart failure, no-reflow phenomenon and the ventricular arrhythmias (p=0.001). There was no difference in the incidence of reinfarction and stroke between the high-risk and low-risk groups [11]. The advanced age, diabetes, previous history of stroke, Killip class >2, and the ischemic duration have all been linked to the development of the no-reflow phenomena in 25% of patients following primary PCI [32,33]. They report an overall prevalence of 16.4 percent in a study, with a substantially greater prevalence in the high-risk group (22.4 percent vs. 13.6 percent, p=0.01) than in the lowrisk group (22.4 percent vs. 13.6 percent, p=0.01) [11]. Despite the fact that the high-risk group had all of the risk indicators listed above, it was discovered that a considerable proportion of patients had poor reperfusion despite achieving TIMI 3 flow. This has been linked to the no-reflow and distal embolization [34], phenomena prompting the use of GpIIb/IIIa antagonists as an additional therapy. There was a significant association between the risk profile and the benefit of adjunct GpIIb/IIIa antagonists in lowering death at 30 days in a meta-analysis by De Luca et al. of STEMI patients undergoing primary PCI [35]. The frequency of using a GpIIb/IIIa antagonist was lower in the high-risk group, as was the lack of embolectomy, which has been shown to be beneficial. The majority of patients suffered cardiogenic shock during their hospital stay [36], and Lindholm et al. found that initial PCI does not prevent it [37]. Patient selection bias is a limitation of the current investigation because it is a nonrandomized, observational registry. Nonrandomized outcomes can potentially be influenced by unidentified confounding variables. The small sample size of our study was the most significant drawback. A single-center experience and a nonrandomized study design are further drawbacks of the current investigation. Because it was conducted in an urban setting, the results may not be applicable to broader populations.

5. CONCLUSION

The study results showed that among cases underwent primary PCI due to ST-elevation MI having higher in Hospital mortality rate. Further, among adverse events, no reflow phenomenon was the most observed event followed by heart failure, ventricular arrhythmia, and cardiogenic shock. After primary PCI in patients with STEMI, mortality was more observed in male gender, age more than 60 years, and diabetic patients. The TIMI risk score, which is used to identify a subset of STEMI cases undergoing primary PCI, identified a subset of cases who are at higher risk for not only increased in-hospital mortality rate but also longer lengths of stay in the hospital including other adverse events as heart failure, no-reflow, ventricular arrhythmias and the cardiogenic shock.

CONSENT

As per international standard or university standard, Participants' written consent has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

ETHICAL APPROVAL

As per international standard or university standard written ethical approval has been collected and preserved by the author(s).

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Rehman H, Samad Z, Mishra SR, Merchant AT, Narula JP, Mishra S, et al. Epidemiologic Studies Targeting Primary Cardiovascular Disease Prevention in South Asia. Indian Heart J; 2018 Jan 31.

- Vos T, Barber RM, Bell B, Bertozzi-Villa A, Biryukov S, Bolliger I, et al. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990–2013: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. Lancet. 2015;386(9995):743-800.
- Rosselló X, Huo Y, Pocock S, Van de Werf F, Chin CT, Danchin N, et al. Global geographical variations in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction management and post-discharge mortality. Int J Cardiol. 2017;245:27-34.
- 4. Authors/Task Force Members, Van de Werf F, Bax J, Betriu A, Blomstrom-Lundqvist C, Crea F, et al. Management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with persistent ST-segment elevation: The Task Force on the management of ST-segment elevation acute myocardial infarction of the European Society of Cardiology: Euro Heart J. 2008;29(23):2909-45.
- 5. De Luca G, Suryapranata H, Marino P. Reperfusion strategies in acute STelevation myocardial infarction: an overview of current status. ProgCardiovasc Dis. 2008;50(5):352-82.
- Zijlstra F, HoorntjeJC, de Boer MJ, Reiffers S, Miedema K, Ottervanger JP, et al. Longterm benefit of primary angioplasty as compared with thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 1999;341(19):1413-9.
- Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. Lancet. 2003;361:13– 20.
- 8. Morrow DA, AntmanEM, Charlesworth A, Cairns R, Murphy SA, de Lemos JA, et al. TIMI risk score for ST-elevation myocardial infarction, a convenient, bedside, clinical score for risk assessment at presentation: an intravenous nPA for treatment of infarcting myocardium early trial substudy. Circulation. 2000;102:2031-2037
- 9. Lev EI, Kornowski R, Vaknin-Assa H, Porter A, Teplitsky I, Ben-Dor I, et al. Comparison of the predictive value of four

different risk scores for outcomes of patients with ST-elevation acute myocardial infarction undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. Am J Cardiol. 2008;102(1):6-11.

- 10. Thune JJ, Hoefsten DE, Lindholm MG, Mortensen LS, Andersen HR, Nielsen TT, et al. Simple risk stratification at identify patients admission to with reduced mortality from primary Circulation. 2005;112(13): angioplasty. 2017-21.
- González-Pacheco H, Arias-Mendoza A, Álvarez-Sangabriel A, Juárez-Herrera U, Damas F, Eid-Lidt G, et al. The TIMI risk score for STEMI predicts in-hospital mortality and adverse events in patients without cardiogenic shock undergoing primary angioplasty. Arch Cardiol Mex. 2013;82:7–13
- 12. Kent DM, Schmid CH, Lau J, Selker HP. Is primary angioplasty for some as good as primary angioplasty for all? Modeling across trials and individual patients. J Gen Intern Med. 2002;17:887–94
- Hafiz AM, Jan MF, Mori N, Gupta A, Bajwa T, Allaqaband S. Contemporary clinical outcomes of primary percutaneous coronary intervention in elderly versus younger patients presenting with acute STsegment elevation myocardial infarction. J Interv Cardiol. 2011;24:357–65
- 14. Jensen LO, Maeng M, Thayssen P, TilstedHH, Terkelsen CJ, Kaltoft A, et al. Influence of diabetes mellitus on clinical outcomes following primary percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with STsegment elevation myocardial infarction. Am J Cardiol. 2013;109(5):629-35.
- 15. De Luca G, van't Hof AWJ, Ottervanger JP, HoorntjeJC, Gosselink AT, DambrinkJH, et al. Time-to-treatment significantly affects the extent of ST-segment resolution and myocardial blush in patients with acute myocardial infarction treated by primary angioplasty. Eur Heart J. 2004;25:1009-13.
- 16. Shaikh AH, Siddiqui MS, Hanif B, Malik F, Hasan K, Adhi F. Outcomes of primary percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in a tertiary care cardiac centre. J Pak Med Assoc. 2009;59(7):426–9.
- 17. Khan MS, JafaryFH, Faruqui AM, Rasool SI, Hatcher J, Chaturvedi N, et al. High prevalence of lack of knowledge of symptoms of acute myocardial infarction in Pakistan and its contribution to delayed

presentation to the hospital. BMC Public Health. 2007;7(1):284.

- Who EC. Appropriate body-mass index for Asian populations and its implications for policy and intervention strategies. Lancet. 2004;363(9403):157
- 19. Nielsen PH, Maeng M, Busk M. Primary angioplasty versus fibrinolysis in acute myocardial infarction: long-term follow-up in the Danish Acute Myocardial Infarction 2 trial. Circulation 2010;121:1484–91.
- 20. Mehran R, Lansky AJ, Witzenbichler B. Bivalirudin in patients undergoing primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction (HORIZONS-AMI): 1-year results of a randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2009;374:1149–59.
- HochmanJS, Sleeper LA, Webb JG. 21. investigators. Early SHOCK revascularization in acute mvocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. Should we emeraently revascularize occluded coronaries for cardiogenic shock. N Engl J Med. 1999;341:625-34
- 22. Lindholm MG, Køber L, Boesgaard S, Torp-Pedersen Aldershvile С, J. Trandolapril Cardiac Evaluation study group. Cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction; prognostic impact of early and late shock development. Eur Heart J. 2003;24:258-65.
- 23. van der Schaaf RJ, Claessen BE, Vis MM. Effect of multivessel coronary disease with or without concurrent chronic total occlusion on one-year mortality in patients treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention for cardiogenic shock. Am J Cardiol 2010;105:955–9.
- 24. Sanborn TA, Sleeper LA, Webb JG. Correlates of one-year survival in patients with cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction: angiographic findings from the SHOCK trial. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2003;42:1373–9.
- 25. Morrow DA, AntmanEM, Charlesworth A, Cairns R, Murphy SA, de Lemos JA, et al. TIMI risk score for ST-elevation myocardial infarction: A convenient, bedside, clinical score for risk assessment at presentation: An intravenous nPA for treatment of infarcting myocardium early II trial substudy. Circul. 2000;102:2031-7.
- 26. Lee KL, Woodlief LH, Topol EJ, Weaver WD, Betriu A, Col J, et al. Predictors of 30day mortality in the era of reperfusion for

acute myocardial infarction. Results from an international trial of 41,021 patients. GUSTO-I

Investigators. Circul.1995;91:1659-68.

- Halkin A, Singh M, Nikolsky E, Grines CL, Tcheng JE, Garcia E, et al. Prediction of mortality after primary percutaneous coronary intervention for acute myocardial infarction: the CADILLAC risk score. J Am CollCardiol. 2005;45:1397-405.
- Claessen BE, Dangas GD, Weisz G, Witzenbichler B, Guagliumi G, Mockel M, et al. Prognostic impact of a chronic total occlusion in a non-infarct-related artery in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: 3-year results from the HORIZONS-AMI trial. Eur Heart J. 2012;33:768-75.
- 29. Caixeta A, Lansky AJ, Mehran R, BrenerSJ, Claessen B, Genereux P, et al. Harmonizing Outcomes With Revascularization and Stents in Acute Mvocardial Infarction (HORIZONS-AMI) trial investigators. Predictors of suboptimal TIMI flow after primary angioplasty for acute myocardial infarction: results from the HORIZONStrial. EuroInt ervention. 2013:9: AMI 220-7.
- Park HW, Yoon CH, Kang SH, Choi DJ, Kim HS, Cho MC, et al. KAMIR/KorMI Registry. Early- and late-term clinical outcome and their predictors in patients with ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction and non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. Int J Cardiol. 2013;169:254-61.
- 31. Kent DM, Schmid CH, Lau J. Is primary angioplasty for someas good as primary angioplasty for all? Modeling across trials

andindividual patients. J Gen Intern Med. 2002;17:887–94

- Negassa A, MonradES, Srinivas VS. A 32. simple prognostic classificationmodel for complications postprocedural after intervention percutaneouscoronary for acute myocardial infarction (from theNew Percutaneous Coronary York State Intervention Database).Am J Cardiol. 2009:103:937-42.
- 33. Morishima I, Sone T, Okumura K. Angiographic no-reflowphenomenon as a predictor of adverse long-term outcome inpatients treated with percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplastyfor first acute myocardial infarction. J Am CollCardiol. 2000;36:1202–9.
- Jaffe R, Charron T, Puley G. Microvascular obstruction and the no-reflow phenomenon after percutaneous coronary intervention. Circulation. 2008;117: 3152–6.
- 35. De Luca G, Van't Hof AW, Ottervanger JP. Unsuccessfulreperfusion in patients with ST-segment elevation my ocardialinfarction treated by primary angioplasty. Am Heart J. 2005;150:557– 62.
- 36. De Luca G, Navarese E, Marino P. Risk profile and benefits fromGpIIb/IIIa inhibitors among patients with ST-segment elevationmyocardial infarction treated with primary angioplasty:a meta-regression analysis of randomized trials. Eur Heart J. 2009;30:2705–13
- 37. Babaev A, Frederick PD, Pasta DJ. Trends in managementand outcomes of patients with acute myocardial infarction complicatedby cardiogenic shock. JAMA. 2005;294:448–54.

© 2022 Kumar et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/82777