



Efficacy of Some Insecticides, Biopesticides and Botanicals against Shoot and Fruit Borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* G.) on Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.)

Gangurde Dipali Shantaram^a^{o*} and Anoorag R. Tayde^{a#}

^a Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj-211007, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJPSS/2022/v34i242621

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92256>

Original Research Article

Received 22/07/2022
Accepted 28/09/2022
Published 20/12/2022

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during *kharif* 2020 at Dhule, Maharashtra (India) to determine efficacy of some insecticides, biopesticides and botanicals against shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee.) on brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). The efficacy result showed that the Emamectin benzoate 5% SG (10.35% and 15.91%) found most effective followed by Cypermethrin 25%EC (11.49 and 17.32%), Spinosad 45%SC (14.06 and 18.77%), NSKE 5% (15.43 and 20.31%), *Bacillus thuringiensis* var *kurstaki* 54% (15.96 and 22.30%) and *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10⁸ CFU (16.53% and 23.45%) was next effective treatment. Among all the treatments Garlic Bulb extract 3% (17.15% and 24.69%) is found be least effective but comparatively superior

^o M.Sc. Scholar;

[#] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: dipaligangurde101@gmail.com;

over the control. The best and most economical treatment was Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (1:3.3), followed by Cypermethrin 25% EC (1:3.1), Spinosad 45% SC (1:2.6), NSKE 5% (1:2.5), *Bacillus thuringiensis var kurstaki* (1:2.4), *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10^8 CFU (1:2.1), Garlic Bulb extract 3% (1:1.9) as compared to control (1:1.1). Emamectin benzoate 5%SG (179q/ha) followed by Cypermethrin 25% EC (163q/ha).

Keywords: Biopesticides, botanicals; Emamectin benzoate; *Leucinodes orbonalis*; Guenee and *Solanum melongena*.

1. INTRODUCTION

Vegetable cultivation is one of the most profitable and dynamic branch of agriculture. It has become an important source of income for both farmers and field labours, serving as a vehicle for reducing poverty in rural areas. Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) also known as eggplant is referred as the “King of vegetables” originated from India and now grown as a vegetable throughout the tropical, sub-tropical and warm temperate areas of the world. Brinjal is one of the most important common vegetable grown around the year under intensive cultivation practices. The major constraint in cultivation of brinjal is infestation of shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee.).

“It is an important vegetable grown in all the seasons. Due to its nutritive value, consisting of minerals like iron, phosphorous, calcium and vitamins like A, B and C, unripe fruits are used primarily as vegetable in the country. It is also used as a raw material in pickle making and as an excellent remedy for those suffering from liver complaints. [1].

“Brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) is one of the popular vegetables favored by the people of many countries viz., Central, South and South East Asia, some parts of Africa and Central America” [2]. “Apart from India, the other major brinjal growing countries are China, Turkey, Japan, Italy, Indonesia, Iraq, Syria, Spain, and Phillipines. Brinjal is one of the most commonly grown vegetable crop in the country” [3].

“Amongst the solanaceous vegetables, brinjal is one of the most common, popular, principle annual crop grown in all the three seasons and economically important vegetable among small-scale farmers as it is a source of cash income for resource poor farmers. The major brinjal growing states in India are, Andhra Pradesh, Karnataka, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu, Maharashtra, Orissa, Uttar Pradesh, Bihar and Rajasthan. In India,

West Bengal contribute highest area 181.5 million hectare and production 2877 million tonnes, Karnataka has highest productivity 25.4 million tonnes per hectare. In Uttar Pradesh, the area under cultivation of brinjal is 3430 hectare producing 111.70 MT and the productivity is 8 MT/ha” [4].

“Though brinjal is a summer crop, it is being grown throughout the year under irrigated condition. Hence, it is subjected to attack by number of insect pests right from nursery stage till harvesting” [5]. “Among the insect pests infesting brinjal, the major ones are shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes orbonalis* (Guenee), whitefly, *Bemisia tabaci* (Genn.), leafhopper, *Amrasca biguttula* (Ishida), and non-insect pest, red spider mite, *Tetranychus macfurlanei*. Of these, *L. orbonalis* is considered the main constraint as it damages the crop throughout the year. It is known to damage shoot and fruit of brinjal in all stages of its growth. The yield loss due to the pest is to the extent of 70-92 per cent” [6,7, 8]. “The infested fruits become unfit for consumption due to loss of quality and hence, lose their market value. It is also reported that there will be reduction in vitamin C content to an extent of 68 per cent in the infested fruits” [9].

“Damage to fruits particularly in autumn, is very severe and the whole crop can be destroyed. It alone causes damage as high as 85.90% and even up to 100% damage is also recorded. The larvae bore into tender shoots and cause wilting and dead heart and in later stage, they bore the tender fruits rendering them unfit for human consumption. So far, *L. orbonalis* Guenee is considered as a major pest of brinjal as shoot and fruit borer in established crop in main field” [1]. “It is also reported that there will be reduction in vitamin C content to an extent of 68 per cent in the infested fruits. It was reported that the shoot and fruit borer (on shoot) were more prevalent during vegetative phase of crop. The yield loss by this pest varied from 0.08-1.11 q/ha on the basis of inconsumable pest of damaged fruits and 0.46- 3.80 q/ha when whole of the damaged fruits were taken into consideration.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at during the *kharif* season 2020. The site selected uniform, cultivable with typical sandy loam soil having good drainage. The Brinjal seedlings of variety 'Gallan' were transplanted after 35days at 60 cm x 60 cm spacing. The experiment was laid down in randomized block design (RBD) with eight treatments replicated thrice with each plot size of 2m X 2m and proper irrigation was provided. The treatments comprising of Emamectin benzoate 5%SG Cypermethrin 25%EC , Spinosad 45%SC, NSKE 5% , *Bacillus thuringiensis* var *kurstaki* 54% and *Beauveria bassiana* 1x10⁸ CFU Garlic Bulb extract 3% and were applied two times using knapsack sprayer in 15 days of interval. From each plot five plants were selected randomly and labeled for recording observations. As soon as the infestation of pest was initiated, the observations on total number of larvae on brinjal of five observational plants from each treatment replication wise were recorded at 3rd, 7th and 14th days after imposing treatments. The data recorded in the different treatments were subjected to statistical analysis after suitable transformation by following standard procedures of RBD experiment. After harvesting of brinjal from each individual plots produce were calculated to work out the yield of the treatments. Yield of healthy heads was converted into quintal per hectare.

The observations on the larval population were recorded visually per plant from five randomly selected and tagged plants in each plot. The insecticides were sprayed at recommended doses when larval population reaches its ETL level. Larvae count was taken 24 hours before spraying at tagged plant at 5 tagged plants per treatment, which was further converted into per plant population and subsequent observation was recorded at 3rd, 7th and 14th days after spraying on same plants.

The healthy marketable yield obtained from different treatments was collected separately and weighted. The cost of insecticides and biopesticides used in this experiment was obtained from nearby market. The total cost of plant protection consisted of cost of treatment, sprayer, rent and labour charges for the spray. There are two sprays throughout the research period and the overall plant protection expenses was calculated. Total income was realized by multiplying the total yield per hectare by the prevailing market price, while the net benefit is obtained by subtracting the total cost of plant protection from the total income. Benefit over the control for each sprayed treatment was obtained by subtracting the income of the control treatment from that of each sprayed treatment.

Table 1. Percent shoots and fruit infestation of *Leucinodes orbonalis* G.

Treatments	Percent shoots and fruit infestation of <i>Leucinodes orbonalis</i> G.							
	First spray				Second spray			
	3 rd DAS	7 th DAS	14 th DAS	Mean	3 rd DAS	7 th DAS	14 th DAS	Mean
T0 Untreated	20.35	22.65	29.91	24.30	25.00	28.14	33.31	28.82
T1 NSKE 5%	15.94	14.20	16.14	15.43	20.70	18.00	22.23	20.31
T2 Garlic Bulb extract 3%	17.68	15.26	18.52	17.15	24.20	23.09	26.79	24.69
T3 <i>Bacillus thuringiensis</i> var. <i>kurstaki</i> 54%	16.69	14.52	16.68	15.96	21.91	20.85	24.15	22.30
T4 <i>Beauveria bassiana</i> 1x10 ⁸ CFU	17.31	14.54	17.76	16.53	22.65	21.65	26.04	23.45
T5 Spinosad 45% SC	14.46	12.84	14.88	14.06	19.68	16.63	20.00	18.77
T6 Emamectin benzoate 5% SG	11.20	8.84	11.01	10.35	17.11	12.88	17.73	15.91
T7 Cypermethrin 25%EC	11.54	10.01	12.92	11.49	18.28	14.82	18.85	17.32
Overall Mean	15.64	14.11	17.23	15.66	21.19	19.51	23.64	21.44
F-test	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
C.D	1.678	1.452	1.833	2.915	1.644	1.440	1.835	2.558
SE(m)	0.548	0.474	0.598	0.952	0.537	0.470	0.599	0.835
SE(d)	0.775	0.671	0.846	1.346	0.759	0.665	0.847	1.181
C.V	6.065	5.821	6.015	10.525	4.387	4.173	4.389	6.744

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results after 1st and 2nd spray revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior to control in managing the pest infestation of *Leucinodes orbonalis* Guenee.) on brinjal. The data on the Per cent (%) infestation of shoot borer on third, seventh and fourteenth day after spray revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments lowest Per cent (%) shoot, infestation was recorded in Emamectin benzoate 5% SG followed by Cypermethrin 25% EC followed by Spinosad 45%SC and NSKE 5%, then treatments *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* 54% and *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10⁸ CFU followed by treatments Garlic bulb extract 3%, were found be least effective but significantly superior over the control. Treatments T6 and T7 are non- significant to each other, Treatments T7 and T5 are non-significant to each other, Treatments T5, T1, T3 and T4 are non-significant to each other, T1, T3, T4 and T2 are non-significant to each other.

The data on the Per cent (%) infestation of shoot borer on third, seventh and fourteenth day after second spray revealed that all the treatments were significantly superior over control. Among all the treatments lowest Per cent (%) shoot, infestation was recorded in Emamectin benzoate 5% SG followed by Cypermethrin 25% EC followed by Spinosad 45%SC and NSKE 5%, then treatments *Bacillus thuringiensis* var. *kurstaki* 54% and *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10⁸ CFU followed by treatments Garlic bulb extract 3%, were found be least effective but significantly superior over the control. Treatments T6 and T7 are non- significant with each other, treatments T7 and T5 are non-significant with each other, treatments T5 and T1 are non-significant with each other and Treatments T3, T4 and T2 are non-significant with each others.

4. CONCLUSION

Treatment Emmamectin benzoate was found to be most effective when considered from view point of per cent shoot and fruit damage and benefit cost ratio BCR (if all the treatment produced were sold at equal price). The specific mode of action of Emmamectin benzoate it inhibits muscle contraction, causing a continuous flow of chlorine ions in the GABA and H-Glutamate receptor sites. Similarly followed by Cypermethrin 25% EC and Spinosad 45% SC and Biopesticides *Bacillus thuringiensis* var

kurstaki 54% and *Beauveria bassiana* 1×10⁸ CFU was next effective treatment. Botanicals NSKE 5% are the part of integrated pest management in order to avoid indiscriminate use of pesticides causing pollution in the environment and not much harmful to beneficial insects.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author are thankful to the faculty members, Department of Entomology, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology and Sciences, Prayagraj, Uttar Pradesh, for providing all necessary facilities and support.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Nawale JS, Kumar A, Patil AA, Narode MK. Efficacy of certain insecticides and bio-pesticides against brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes Orbona lis* Guenee). J Entomol Zool Stud. 2018;6(5):292-5.
2. Harish DK, Agasimani AD, Imamsaheb SJ, Patil SS. Growth and yield parameters in brinjal as influenced by organic nutrient management and plant protection conditions. Res J Agric Sci. 2011;2(2):221-5.
3. Yadav RV, Tayde AR. Comparative efficacy of cypermethrin with combination of different botanicals against brinjal shoot and fruit borer (*Leucinodes orbona lis*) on Brinjal. J Entomol Zool Stud. 2018; 6(3):1675-7.
4. Yadav R, Lyall H, Kumar S, Sanp RK. Efficacy of certain botanical insecticides against shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes Orbona lis* (Guenee) on brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.). An Int Q J Life Sci. 2015;10(2):987-90.
5. Regupathy A, Palanisamy S, Chandramohan N, Gunathilagaraj K. A guide on crop pests. Sooriya desk top publishers. Coimbatore. 1997;264.
6. Reddy E, Srinivasa SG. Management of shoot and fruit borer, (*Leucindoes orbona lis* Guenee) in brinjal using botanicals/oils. Pestology. 2004;28:50-2.
7. Chakraborti S, Sarkar PK. Management of *Leucinodes Orbona lis* Gunees on eggplants during the rainy season in. Indian J Plant Prot Research. 2011;51(4):325-8.

8. Jagginavar SB, Sunitha ND, Biradar AP. Bioefficacy of flubendiamide 480 SC against brinjal fruit and shoot borer, *Leucinodes Orbona lis* Guenee. *Karnataka J Agric Sci.* 2009;22(3):712-3.
9. Hami MA. Effect of borer attack on the vitamin 'C' content of brinjal. *Pak J Health.* 1955;4(4):223-4. PMID 13245264.
10. Javaid A, Munir R, Khan IH, Shoaib A. Control of the chickpea blight, *Ascochyta rabiei*, with the weed plant, *Withania somnifera*. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control.* 2020;30(1):114. DOI: 10.1186/s41938-020-00315-z
11. Jabeen N, Javaid A, Shoaib A, Khan IH. Management of southern blight of bell pepper by soil amendment with dry biomass of *Datura metel*. *J Plant Pathol.* 2021;103(3):901-13. DOI: 10.1007/s42161-021-00874-6
12. Javaid A, Khan IH, Shoaib A. Management of charcoal rot of mungbean by two *Trichoderma* Javaid A, species and dry biomass of *Coronopus didymus*. *Planta Daninha.* 2018;36:e018182795. DOI: 10.1590/s0100-83582018360100124
13. Khan IH, Javaid A. DNA cleavage of the fungal pathogen and production of antifungal compounds are the possible mechanisms of action of biocontrol agent *Penicillium italicum* against *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *Mycologia.* 2022;114(1):24-34. DOI: 10.1080/00275514.2021.1990627, PMID 34928190.
14. Misra HP. New promising insecticides for the management of brinjal shoot and fruit borer, *Leucinodes Orbona lis* Guenee. *Pest Manag Hortic Ecosyst.* 2008;14(2): 140-7.
15. Khan IH, Javaid A, Al-Taie AH, Ahmed D. Use of neem leaves as soil amendment for the control of collar rot disease of chickpea. *Egypt J Biol Pest Control.* 2020;30(1):98. DOI: 10.1186/s41938-020-00299-w

© 2022 Gangurde and Tayde; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/92256>